

Statement of Community Involvement

Summary of Questionnaire responses

Publicity

To comply with the regulations for pre-submission public participation the draft Statement of Community Involvement the Council:

- Made copies of the draft SCI available for inspection at Council offices in Cromer, Fakenham and North Walsham and all local libraries in the district and mobile libraries serving the district
- Published the draft SCI and the statutory advert on the website
- Placed a statutory notice advertisement in the EDP, North Norfolk News and the Dereham & Fakenham Times
- Sent the draft SCI to all those involved at earlier stages of consultation (all parish and town councils and over 100 local voluntary and community groups)

The Council also:

- Included an article in the June edition of Outlook magazine publicising the participation period
- Prepared a summary leaflet of the SCI which was distributed to libraries and council offices
- Wrote to everyone on the consultation database and advised them that the draft SCI was available for inspection and enclosed the summary leaflet of the SCI
- Entered the details on 'Consultation Finder'

Responses

In response to the publicity:

- 67 responses were received within the statutory 6 week period (this included 5 on-line responses, 16 letters and 46 paper questionnaires)
- 11 responses were received after the closing date and could not be considered

Of those questionnaires and on-line responses that were received within the statutory period:

- 94% supported the principles outlined in the draft SCI
- 85% felt it was clear how people can get involved in the preparation of new planning documents
- 81% felt we are seeking to involve the right groups of people
- 83% felt we are using the right methods of consultation for the Core Strategy
- 86% felt we are using the right methods of consultation for the Site Specific Proposals
- 73% felt the requirements for pre-application discussions on major planning applications are at an appropriate level

SCI responses. Reg 26 consultation period 6 June to 15 July 2005

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

2 Aldborough & Thurgarton Parish Council

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

Q8

20 Blakeney Parish Councillor

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Unsure

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

Q8

Likes the 'have your say' document and hopes it goes to every household. Consultation period is too short with only one document sent to each parish council.

The 'have your say' booklet goes to all those making a comment on a planning application and is available at Council offices. Six week statutory periods are set by Government. Further copies of documents can be requested

26 Briningham Parish Council

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			
47 Brinton & Gunthorpe Parish Councils			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	No	Simple and plain one-liners and bullet points would help.	Language has been simplified where possible
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>		Message to be clear and punchy	Have tried to make it clear
<i>Q8</i>		No	
63 Briston Parish Council			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes	But not clear for individual members of the public.	General public involvement has been highlighted in bold in
<i>Q3</i>	Yes	More information should be publicised for individuals to express their views. Individuals suggested to be added to the database.	There will be widespread publicity at periods of public participation. The individuals suggested have been added to the consultation database and will be contacted directly at relevant periods.
<i>Q4</i>	Yes	Little information has been made available to the public (this parish council only heard by chance)	All parish councils are written to directly, and wider publicity will follow for participation periods on future documents
<i>Q5</i>	Yes	Provided adequate publicity is given. Information should be given to parish newsletters.	Information will be sent to parish clerks for inclusion in newsletters.
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q7</i>		Not at this stage	
<i>Q8</i>		Not at this stage	
57	British Wind Energy Association		
<i>Q1</i>			
<i>Q2</i>			
<i>Q3</i>			
<i>Q4</i>			
<i>Q5</i>			
<i>Q6</i>		LA's may wish to inform communities about renewable energy (benefits & effects) before any schemes are submitted for a better informed public.	Participation on renewable energy policies in the Core Strategy could include an education element
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>		Appropriate community involvement in wind energy development can have benefits for all involved. See letter	Noted
25	Broadland District Council		
<i>Q1</i>			
<i>Q2</i>			
<i>Q3</i>			
<i>Q4</i>			
<i>Q5</i>			
<i>Q6</i>			
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>		No comments to make	
30	Broads Authority		
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes	Need to ensure that local communities at grass roots level are contacted/involved in ways they are familiar and at ease with.	We will aim to do this through written correspondence, meetings, workshops and exhibitions
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>		The draft is lengthy but well set out, and the summary leaflet is helpful.	Noted
61 Clifton Park Residents Association			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Unsure	It would be good to involve Residents Associations - some are listed in library. The Association could ensure their members respond to consultations, giving a higher response rate.	Council tenant representatives are on the consultation database along with some Residents Associations. More details will be added as we become aware of extra associations
<i>Q3</i>	Yes	As 2a	
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Unsure	Site notices should be placed where a change of use is under consideration (use different colour from existing site notices) to alert those living nearby and those using the land for amenity, as	This is a possibility for advertising site allocations
<i>Q6</i>	Unsure	not everyone reads public notices in newspapers.	
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			
32 CPRE North Norfolk			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	No	Government has introduced a very complex system. In section 3.11 it would be helpful to highlight 'general public' in bold or	General public highlighted in bold in table 4
<i>Q3</i>	Yes	Yes, but if resources are limited then focus on reaching the community. The Community Strategy consultation involves the general public.	The groups involved at reg 25 are made up of, and represent, the local community. The Community Strategy consultation involves the general

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

capitals.

public and the review of this is informing the reg 25 stage of the Core Strategy. Individuals can be added to the database and kept informed

Q4 Yes Yes, but the sustainability appraisal should be preceded by an environmental assessment, otherwise environmental issues lose focus and are diluted by the SA process.

The sustainability appraisal is preceded by a scoping report and the four environmental agencies have been involved in its preparation.

Q5 Yes But make clear the relationship and contribution of these to the Core Strategy, especially if consulting on both at the same time.

The Core Strategy will set the overall strategy and the Site Specifics will allocate individual sites to achieve the aims. This has been clarified in section 2.4.1

Q6 No Points 1 & 2 in para 5.3.2 should be included as part of the minimum expected from the developer. The council should monitor the process so that it is a balanced consultation and not a straight selling operation (especially if there is planning gain)

Point 1 of para 5.3.1 requires developers to agree consultation arrangements with officers, and 1 & 2 can be suggested if appropriate. Developers will be required to submit a statement setting out the community engagement undertaken (para 5.4) which will allow the Council to monitor neutrality. There is a protocol between the district and county council and established policies for planning obligations

Q7 The Government has introduced a complex new system, inevitably reflected by the SCI. It may be necessary to produce a summary leaflet aimed at the general public.

Summary leaflet is available and another will be produced when the SCI is adopted.

Q8 Concerned that the time pressures inherent in the system are likely to see major strategic decisions front-loaded, but not the consultation. This is a challenge for the council.

Early involvement of the community and widespread consultation should ensure people are involved in decision making

50 East Norfolk Rural Transport Partnership

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7 Para 3.2.5 As specific aspects of the Norfolk RCC are mentioned, the East Norfolk Rural Transport Partnership should be included.

Included in para 3.2.5

Q8 Query how far existing parish plans could be included in the LDF as they can't be subject to retrospective Sustainability appraisal. They should at least be used in the evidence gathered to produce these documents.

It is not the intention to adopt parish plans as SPD, however they will inform the preparation of LDF documents

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

12 Eastern Area Tenant Representative

Q1 Unsure

Q2 Unsure If people think decisions have already been made it will cancel any desire to get involved in consultation. People may be scared to get involved and question the councils decisions.

Noted

Q3 Need to include groups other than those Government funded i.e. CLA, WI's and Salvation Army branches. Country Landowners Association, women's institutes, WRVS, Salvation Army, Methodist conference etc.

Many voluntary groups are included in the consultation database, including including CLA, WI's and Salvation Army branches.

Q4 Letters sent out stating that the draft SCI is available gives the message that it has been prepared already and there is no point commenting as changes will not be made. Change to say it is in suggested form for further suggestions/alterations.

Will try to make clear that draft documents are open to comments and change

Q5

As the sea rolls in across North Norfolk that won't take any notice of the plans.

The Shoreline Management plan is looking at coastal erosion issues. The LDF will include policies on costal erosion and flood risk

Q6 Less paper and empty talk and more concrete sea defences on the beach are the only way for the future.

Noted

Q7

Q8 Need to spend more time and effort getting things done rather than producing paper plans.

Noted

64 Edgefield Parish Council

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

Q8 Table 3.6 is hard to read. Groups should include pre-school

Table format altered. The Youth Service works with young parents and can help increase their awareness and participation. There will be widespread advertising at public participation periods which will target everyone in the district

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

5 Fakenham Area Conservation Team

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

Q8

38 Fakenham Baptist Community Church

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

Q8

21 Fakenham Parish Church

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			
39	Garden History Society		
<i>Q1</i>			
<i>Q2</i>			
<i>Q3</i>			
<i>Q4</i>			
<i>Q5</i>			
<i>Q6</i>			
<i>Q7</i>		Letter to NNDC. Advise inclusion of a policy to protect sites on EH Register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest.	Policy 25 of the Local Plan protects historic parks and gardens and this protection will be carried forward into the new LDF
<i>Q8</i>		The policy should also indicate that there is a presumption against enabling development to accord with the English Heritage Policy Statement 'Enabling development and the conservation of historic assets'. See letter for further details.	Noted
46	Go-East		
<i>Q1</i>		Letter to NNDC with specific comments on all aspects of the SCI.	
<i>Q2</i>		Unnecessary background information reduces the focus of the SCI. The SCI should be worded in a way that minimises the potential for sections to become out of date.	Paras 1.7.1 and 1.7.2 deleted as they only provided information on the preparation of the SCI. Wording phrased so the SCI should not become out of date and need reviewing
<i>Q3</i>		The Council may wish to consider including a stronger and focus groups are informing both. Para 1.5.3 and section 4.3 have commitment to parallel consultations with the Community	The Council is committed to joint working with the Community Strategy and focus groups are informing both. Para 1.5.3 and section 4.3 have clarified the joint working.
<i>Q4</i>		The new process for document preparation includes distinct stages of 'consultation' (the informal regulation 25 engagement with stakeholders) and 'participation' (the wider more formal	Noted and changes made.
<i>Q5</i>		engagement at regulation 26). The SCI should clarify the difference between these and adopt a consistent use of the	

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

Strategy.
unnecessarily.

terminology. Several general text changes also recommended.

The SCI should set out the programme for engaging with relevant groups in the preparation of SPDs. More certainty should be provided for providing feedback and acknowledging

Table 3.11 and section 4 strengthened to include reference to SPDs. Representations and feedback are clarified in chapter 4.

Q6

Q7

The Council should consider whether the methods in the SCI are effective in terms of resources available and if the programme is deliverable

Methods proposed are considered appropriate and deliverable with the input of staff in other sections (see section 4 for clarification)

Q8

The concept of 'soundness' is fundamental to the preparation of documents and is significant in terms of how responses should be framed to submission documents. The Council could clarify where the tests of soundness are set out and that representations on submission documents should state how the document fails any of the tests.

Section 4 strengthened to give more detail on soundness.

16 Gypsy and Traveller Law Reform Coalition

Q1

Q2

Q3

Q4

Q5

Q6

Q7

Q8

Email to NNDC. Have produced a guidance document giving advice on Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs and the new planning system. It contains a list of local activists and experts recommended to contact.

Guidance contact and local contacts noted

59 HBF (The Home Builders Federation)

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q1</i>			
<i>Q2</i>			
<i>Q3</i>	directly at key stages	Communication by letter/email is best for those who have commercial interests in the district but are not physically based in it. Information on the Council website is only useful if specifically drawn to peoples attention.	Relevant stakeholders including businesses, agents etc, will be contacted contacted directly at key stages
<i>Q4</i>		Para 2.4.1 & 3.11 SPD's must not introduce new policy or revise guidelines from the adopted document. Matters of importance to development costs, and policies for development sites, need to be set in a DPD not delegated to a SPD.	The Core Strategy will contain a policy on planning obligations. The SPD will provide further clarification.
<i>Q5</i>			
<i>Q6</i>		General letter.	
<i>Q7</i>		Para 3.7 Reference should be made to the Housing Market Assessment (and development industry should be involved in this)	Reference included
<i>Q8</i>		Welcome the Planning Agents forum. Landowners, agents and developers should be consulted directly in relation to new documents, and invited to developers workshops or focus groups. See letter.	Agents and developers are in the consultation database and will be contacted at statutory participation periods. Development industry players will be contacted and invited to contribute to early stage

67 Hickling Parish Council

- Q1* Unsure
- Q2* Yes
- Q3* Unsure
- Q4* Yes
- Q5* Yes
- Q6* Yes
- Q7* None
- Q8* No

52 High Kelling Parish Council

- Q1* Yes

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Unsure	Include the RC Diocese of East Anglia	Added to the database
<i>Q4</i>	Yes	Consider TV i.e. Look East.	Lack of resources limit the possibilities, however press releases will be sent to local radio
<i>Q5</i>	Unsure		
<i>Q6</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			
43 High Kelling Parish Council			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes	But, how will local 'evidence' be fed into proposals and what process does this need to go through to be accepted as	Local views have been sought in the preparation of the Studies which are being used as evidence to inform the LDF. Local landowners and others have been putting forward representations on pieces of land to be considered for development. These will all be assessed in line with planning criteria and the sustainability appraisal
<i>Q6</i>	Yes	Para 5.2.2 The NN railway is a major attraction on the parish boundary. These attractions will inevitably wish to develop their visitor base and should be treated as major applications since they have wider implications for an area (parking, visitors)	At this stage pre-application discussions are proposed to be limited to major applications only (as defined in the SCI). The system will be monitored and assessed when in place
<i>Q7</i>		See 6A for comment.	
<i>Q8</i>		Very comprehensive. A flowchart showing the stages when parish councils are involved (and feedback) with a timescale will help parishes to make comments/be ready when needed.	The LDS contains the timetable for document preparation and consultation
40 Holt & District Chamber of Commerce			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>	None		
13 Holt Town Council			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Unsure		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			
53 Individual			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Unsure	Many people are not members of any groups i.e. the young and stage and also in the review of the Community Strategy. Anyone can be those not in a group.	There will be wide publicity to involve the general public at the reg 26 stage and also in the review of the Community Strategy. Anyone can be added to the consultation database and informed of participation periods and events.
<i>Q4</i>	Unsure	All people have as much right to be consulted as the groups and this can only be done by getting out and meeting them in their own environment.	A variety of events will be planned for the public participation period
<i>Q5</i>			
	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

Q7

Q8

55 Individual

Q1 Yes

Q2 Unsure It will be hard for ordinary people to keep abreast of everything going on and how to have a direct input.

Newspaper articles, leaflets and Outlook magazine will be used to inform the general public when and how they can get involved. Individuals can be added to the consultation database and informed directly of relevant participation periods

Q3 Yes

Q4 Unsure

Q5

Q6 No Need more detail on how developers will communicate with the public and interest groups. They should be obliged to contact directly every householder within a certain distance (1 mile?) of the development. It should be easier to lodge objections.

Developers are asked to inform immediate neighbours at the pre-application stage. The Council can provide details of local community and interest groups that we are aware of. The other forms of publicity such as site notices, press advertisements and contacting the local town or parish council will reach those not covered by a neighbour

Q7 Hard going to read the document - can it be presented in small chunks?

The chapters break up the information

Q8 Community involvement should extend to giving the elderly better value for money for council tax.

The Council is carrying out a review of council tax, including focus groups and questionnaires to gain views of the general public.

54 Individual

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Unsure What is the age group of the 'Youth Action Group'? There is lots Council Youth Service has clients aged up to 25 and they will be of dis-engagement of 18-25 year olds, how will you engage with

The youth action group is made up of school age children. The County

Council Youth Service has clients aged up to 25 and they will be assisting with events to engage with young people. Clarified in table 1.

Q4 Unsure Local radio?

Press releases will be sent to local newspapers and radio

Q5 Yes Articles in newspapers referring to notices and adverts would be helpful.

Press releases will be sent out along with the statutory adverts.

Q6

Yes

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
	Q7	them? separates the Core Strategy from the Site Specific Proposals separation of the LDF from the site specific	Could re-order the document: a summary at the start and clearer Table 4
	Q8		
48	Individual		
	Q1	Yes	
	Q2	Yes	
	Q3	No Is there a need to involve independent groups/individuals at the database and will be involved at various stages pre-planning stage for major developments?	A wide range of groups and individuals are included in the consultation database and will be involved at various stages
	Q4	Yes	
	Q5	Yes	
	Q6	No Is there a need to involve independent groups/individuals at the pre-planning stage for major developments?	The SCI encourages developers to undertake pre-application discussions with the local community where a major development is proposed.
	Q7	Many spell-check errors	Noted and checked
	Q8	Should be written in more plain English rather than politico-	Has been simplified where possible
51	Individual		
	Q1	Yes	
	Q2	Yes	
	Q3	Yes	
	Q4	Yes	
	Q5	Yes	
	Q6	Unsure	
	Q7	It is very important to be flexible and innovative in new housing. Tightly drawn boundaries and written policies precludes the opportunity to introduce small communities in brownfield areas which do not serve or preserve the community.	Noted
	Q8		
14	Kelling Parish Council		

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

1 Letheringsett With Glandford Parish Council

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

Q8

60 Levvel Consulting Ltd

Q1

Q2

Q3 Unsure More information should be provided on the Planning Agents forum. Many development industry agencies are included in the consultation forum. The views of the development industry are very important and more proactive ways of engaging with them are needed. Contact details should be added to the consultation database.

More information on the Agents forum has been provided in Table 1.

Many development industry agencies are included in the consultation database and will be contacted directly at key periods

Q4 Unsure Consultation needs to involve the dev. Industry as they have valuable information. Failure to take account of this evidence

As above

Q5 would miss local issues and needs and could question the

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

soundness of the document.

Unsure As 4a. Consideration of the industry's views early in the process will also assist at the site allocations and pre application stages.

Q6

Consideration of the industry's views early in the process will also assist at the site allocations and pre application stages.

The development industry are being contacted and invited to contribute to consultation exercises prior to the formal public participation.

Q7

Need more detail in table 1 on how the development industry will be involved, specifically going beyond the planning agents forum (need more detail on this). Council should identify landowners, developers etc that should be added to the database.

Many landowners, developers and agents are included in the consultation database. All those who have made a site representation will also be contacted at the relevant time.

Q8

The comments made should be incorporated throughout the document. Appendix 4:emails & websites should be mentioned as a method of consultation. Hard to reach groups should be mentioned in focus groups. Need to be more proactive in involving developers

Emails are included as a method of written communication. Information and all consultation documents will be available on the Council website. Focus groups also consist of hard to reach groups and experts working with them.

15 National Playing Fields Association

Q1

Q2

Q3

Q4

Q5

Q6

Q7

Q8

Paper to all LA's advising what to consult them on(core strategy, generic dev control policies, planning obligations & play space provision) Will circulate model policies as their comments at preferred options stage and check documents at submission stage.

Noted. This will inform our consultations

56 NNDC Active Communities Manager

Q1

Q2

Q3

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

Q4

Q5

Q6

Q7

See letter for specific comments. Resource availability is a constraint on new approaches to reaching some sectors of the

We are working with various organisations to help co-ordinate and improve involvement (See chapter 3) A summary leaflet is available

Q8

Swift feed back is very important backed up by a clear explanation why suggestions can't be taken forward when this is the case. Young people and economically active age people need to be included. Statutory notices need to be backed up by radio/press

The pre-submission consultation statement will provide feedback on main issues raised and how they were addressed in the DPD. We are working with the youth service to plan appropriate participation. Press releases will be sent out associated with the statutory adverts

6 NNDC Councillor

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

Q8

Parish councils should be made aware that planning decisions are often determined by Government policies rather than what NNDC planning committee are in favour of, i.e. conversion of bans to holiday accommodation as opposed to residential.

Planning applications are determined in line with Structure Plan and Local Plan policy which interpret Government advice. Relevant policies are quoted in committee reports and decision notices. Clarified in section 5.

3 Norfolk African Community Association

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>	None		
<i>Q8</i>	No		
9	Norfolk Constabulary		
<i>Q1</i>			
<i>Q2</i>			
<i>Q3</i>			
<i>Q4</i>			
<i>Q5</i>			
<i>Q6</i>			
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>		Letter to NNDC. Norfolk Constabulary should be placed in the statutory consultee section as they own and control communications equipment in the county	Will be consulted as a statutory consultee
4	Norfolk Learning and Skills Council		
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>	No		
24	Norfolk Rural Community Council		

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>		Para 3.2.5 simplify the final sentence to 'The RCC also work with communities to produce parish action plans which will provide useful evidence and information for the preparation of LDF documents.'	Amended
7 North Walsham & District Citizens Advice Bureau			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	No	Need to involve a wider cross section of society, i.e. mother and toddler groups, pensioners societies etc	Variety of groups representing different sections of society are in the consultation database
<i>Q4</i>	No	Should try to involve sections of society that normally do not respond to written notification in papers/websites.	Groups on the consultation database will be written to directly and other ways of reaching 'hard to reach' groups are discussed in the SCI.
<i>Q5</i>	Unsure	At regulation 25 should try to involve local residents not just people on committees.	The groups involved at reg 25 are made up of, and represent, the local community. The Community Strategy consultation involves the general public and this is informing the reg 25 stage of the Core Strategy
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			
11 North Walsham Area Partnership			
<i>Q1</i>			
<i>Q2</i>			

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q3</i>			
<i>Q4</i>			
<i>Q5</i>			
<i>Q6</i>		How will you establish who falls into categories of neighbours and local interest groups? How do you inform absentee neighbours? How deal with complaints of those left out? How deal with multi-ownership of properties through timeshare?	Developers are asked to inform immediate neighbours at the pre-application stage. The Council can provide details of local community and interest groups that we are aware of. The other forms of publicity such as site notices, press advertisements and contacting the local town or parish council will reach those not covered by a neighbour
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>		Letter to NNDC. Pleased to be involved in the process. Interested in identification of gaps for investment i.e. sites for larger retail provision and budget priced hotel accommodation. May be difficult to engage with a cross-section.	Noted

17 North Walsham Area Partnership

<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>		See letter for specific comments regarding text changes.	Amendments made
<i>Q8</i>		Public notices in newspapers are rarely read - need an article in the main news section alerting people to the official notice.	Press releases will be sent to newspapers for inclusion on the same day as the official notice

36 North Walsham Methodist Church

<i>Q1</i>	Yes
<i>Q2</i>	Yes
<i>Q3</i>	Yes
<i>Q4</i>	Unsure

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q5</i>	Unsure		
<i>Q6</i>	Unsure		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			
66 North Walsham Town Council			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Unsure	We accept the groups that are being consulted but they are not given enough background information.	Information will be provided on the Council website, in leaflets, Outlook magazine and can be provided on request.
<i>Q4</i>	No	See 3a	
<i>Q5</i>	No	See 3a	
<i>Q6</i>			
<i>Q7</i>		Para 1.7.2 Parish councils should be given a greater say as they are the elected body closest to the public. Para 1.8 who determines 'the views that are beneficial for the majority of the district'? It fails to address the question of compulsory purchase and the overall Government development plans.	Para 1.7.2 has been deleted as it only provided information on the preparation of the SCI. Para 1.8 has been deleted and a section on 'how decisions are made' has been included in section 4. The SCI has been clarified to emphasise the role of members as elected representatives of the local population and their decision making capacity. Parish Councils are involved all the way through and their valuable input is recognised. The LDS contains more information on the development plan system,. Compulsory purchase is a mechanism to assist Councils in land acquisition where it will facilitate the carrying out of development or improvement on land with the objective of promoting or improving the economic, social or environmental well being of the area.
<i>Q8</i>		EERA's role is not explained, while there are elected members from the district on the body how does it make its decisions? To what extent does central government play a role?	Clarification on EERA provided in para 2.3.2. Central government role clarified in chapter 2
49 Peacock and Smith			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 No The use of the term 'expected to undertake' in paras 5.3.1 and 5.3.2 in relation to pre-application discussions is inconsistent with government advice which seeks to 'encourage'

Text remains. The SCI sets out the council's expectations of developers. The importance of pre-application discussions is established in PPS1 and PPS 12 and it is good practice for developers and the Council to take a positive attitude towards early engagement in these discussions.

As above

Q8 Wm. Morrison supermarkets are a major superstore retailer who currently operate at Cromer and Fakenham and would like to be kept informed and consulted on further LDF documents, especially for retail allocations and new designated centres.

The Agents are in the consultation database and will be contacted at relevant consultation periods

42 Potter Heigham Parish Council

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

Q8

68 Sculthorpe Parish Council

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q7</i>	None		
<i>Q8</i>			
23	Sheringham Preservation Society		
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	No	The guidelines should be mandatory	The SCI sets out the council's expectations of developers. The importance of pre-application discussions is established in PPS1 and PPS 12 and it is good practice for developers and the Council to take a positive attitude towards early engagement in these discussions, however it is not a mandatory requirement.
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			
65	Sheringham Town Council		
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>	No changes		
<i>Q8</i>	No		
22	Sidestrand Parish Council		
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

Q2 Unsure

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Unsure

Q8

34 Sport England

Q1

Q2

Q3

Q4

Q5

Q6

Sport England are a statutory consultee on all planning applications affecting playing fields. Letter clarifying the types of application they wish to be consulted on as non-statutory.

Noted. Letter forwarded to development control

Q7

In addition to Sport England more local organisations that have an interest in sports provision should be consulted on documents, eg principal sports clubs, schools, companies managing facilities as they will have a local perspective on needs.

Local sports clubs were involved in the preparation of the Open Space study and will be involved in area based SPDs as appropriate. The Council maintains a list of contact details for sports clubs.

Q8

Letter to NNDC. Wish to be consulted on all documents with implications for sport or recreation facilities, the CS and SSP, planning obligations SPD and development briefs for major sites. Prefer written consultation by post or email. Documents produced

Sport England will be written to at relevant stages

45 Stibbard Parish Council

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q4</i>	Unsure	Email and website communication must always be backed up by other methods.	The majority of communication will be via direct postal communication
<i>Q5</i>	Unsure	Some feel that the 6 week period for representations to be made is too short and may lead to bad decisions.	This is a statutory period that we must comply with
<i>Q6</i>	No	If an applicant chooses not to consult the local community and goes straight to pre-planning discussions with the council it will appear that everything has been 'sewn-up' before a parish council	It is the expectation of the Council that developers proposing major developments will undertake pre-application consultation with the local community. There is also the opportunity for comment when the
<i>Q7</i>		Para 1.6.3 is very confusing - how long is the period? Statements need to be more plain English and clear. See letter for detailed comments.	Statutory periods are six weeks. Para 1.6.3 has been clarified.
<i>Q8</i>		Too many new phrases and terminology	Glossary has been expanded to give more clarification

28 Sustead Parish Council

<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Unsure	Newspaper notices are not satisfactory as people do not read them so much as seeing headlines.	Press releases will be sent to newspapers for inclusion on the same day as the official notice
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	No	Not wide enough or local enough. Articles in local church/parish magazines may help.	Articles will be sent for inclusion in existing publications (i.e. parish newsletters) where possible.
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			

10 Swanton Novers Parish Council

<i>Q1</i>	No
<i>Q2</i>	Yes
<i>Q3</i>	Yes
<i>Q4</i>	Yes

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes	Paragraph 5.3.1 The word 'expected' should be changed to	The SCI sets out the council's expectations of developers. The
<i>Q7</i>		Paragraph 5.3.1 The word 'expected' should be changed to	As above.
<i>Q8</i>			
8 The British Pipeline Agency Ltd			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			
35 The Countryside Agency			
<i>Q1</i>			
<i>Q2</i>			
<i>Q3</i>			
<i>Q4</i>			
<i>Q5</i>		A concept statement approach would be valuable - a clear expression of the kind of place that new development should create. See enclosed document.	The concept statement is welcomed as a valuable document and we will seek to apply the ideas where possible
<i>Q6</i>			
<i>Q7</i>		Welcome the acknowledgements in para 3.2.2 of the contribution that parish plans can make to the process and in 3.2.5 of village	Design statements can be used to inform the design guide and the review of conservation areas. Early stages of SPD preparation will

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

appraisals. Town and village design statements should also be promoted and could inform the new Design Guide. See letter

include wide involvement prior to reg 17

Q8

See letter to NNDC. Welcome the SCI and it seems like the council is committed to making considerable efforts to engage with all sectors of the local community through a variety of channels. Welcome the production of landscape character

Noted

18 The Gypsy Council

Q1

Q2

Q3

Q4

Q5

Q6

Q7

Q8

Letter to NNDC. They do not have time or resources to evaluate policy and plans, but are collecting them all to produce a national spread of policy on providing for gypsy and traveller sites in the future.

Noted

19 The Highways Agency

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

Q8 No

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

31 The Holt Society

Q1 Yes

Q2 No A complex system with consultation with various bodies at various stages. Would be helpful to emphasise in bold where general public involvement is sought.

Q3 Yes But not all of same priority in terms of council resource. The key is community. The Community Strategy consultation involves the general to engage with 'mainstream' public.

Q4 Yes Yes, but need to target the general public more.

Q5 Yes Make clear how these relate to, and contribute to, the Core

Q6 Yes In addition the Council should monitor for neutrality and balance on the merits/need for the application. Any proposals for planning gain should not be included at this stage.

Q7 Would be helpful to produce a leaflet on the SCI process for the public showing where they will have the opportunity to comment (including specific examples).

Q8 Seek to stick to the commitment to front-load consultation along with the front loading of the major decisions.

General public highlighted in bold in table 3.11

The groups involved at reg 25 are made up of, and represent, the local community. The Community Strategy consultation involves the general public and this is informing the reg 25 stage of the Core Strategy. Individuals can be added to the added to the database and kept informed

Will be involved through the Community Strategy and at regulation 26 stage

The Core Strategy will set the overall strategy and the Site Specifics will allocate individual sites to achieve the aims. This has been clarified in section 2.4.1

Developers will be required to submit a statement setting out the community engagement undertaken (para 5.4) which will allow the Council to monitor neutrality. It is considered appropriate for planning gain options to be discussed in order to give the full picture for informed debate. At present planning gain is negotiated with reference to established County and district policies and a protocol is in place between the two. There is also opportunity to comment on planning gain issues when an application is subsequently submitted.

Summary leaflet is available and another will be produced with the adopted SCI

Once the SCI is adopted we must comply with it

62 The Open Spaces Society

Q1

Q2

Q3

Q4

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q5</i>		Would be pleased to be involved in pre-application discussions with developers where a proposal would affect a public right of way, public open space an important landscape feature or	Noted
<i>Q6</i>		comprise a large-scale development. Want to be involved in discussions affecting common land or village greens where separate consent from SoS is needed. Also on applications where the applicant hasn't contacted them. DOE circular 2/93 says that the effect of development on a public	Noted
<i>Q8</i>		Also like to be involved in discussion on development affecting common land or village greens, where separate consent from the SoS is needed. See letter. Guidance note on public rights of way included.	Noted
41 Upper Sheringham Parish Council			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Unsure	A minor application in an urban situation could be a major application in a rural situation. Developers should be encouraged to consult with parish councils where an application however small has a major impact in that area.	At this stage pre-application discussions are proposed to be limited to major applications only. The system will be monitored and assessed when in place
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>	See 6A		
29 Wighton Parish Council			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Organisation</i>	<i>Organisation Comment</i>	<i>NNDC response</i>
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>	No		
58 Wood Frampton Planning Agents			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes		
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>			
<i>Q8</i>			
37 Wood Norton Parish Council			
<i>Q1</i>	Yes		
<i>Q2</i>	Yes		
<i>Q3</i>	Yes		
<i>Q4</i>	Yes	Consultation should be wider for North Norfolk Design Guide	There will be community involvement at the early stage prior to wider public participation at regulation 17 stage. See table 3.11 in the SCI for details
<i>Q5</i>	Yes		
<i>Q6</i>	Yes		
<i>Q7</i>		See 4a	
<i>Q8</i>		Too long for people to read in detail - document fatigue before reaching the significant issues.	Noted, however there are certain elements that need to be included.

Ref Organisation

Organisation Comment

NNDC response

27 Worstead Amenity Society

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 Yes

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 No Pre-application discussions should always be advised for new building within a conservation area, whatever the scale of the new building.

At this stage pre-application discussions are proposed to be limited to major applications only. The system will be monitored and assessed when in place

Q7 See 6A

Q8 This is a thoughtful and measured approach on which you are to be congratulated.

Noted

33 Worstead Parish Council

Q1 Yes

Q2 Yes

Q3 No Daytime meetings are no good for people who work, and are generally attended only by old people.

Noted. Where possible meetings will be held at a variety of times.

Q4 Yes

Q5 Yes

Q6 Yes

Q7

Q8