

NNDC LDF Site Specific Proposals Examination in Public  
Further Written Statement  
Comment by Hugh Ivins BA MRTPI  
Addendum following the Inspectors PHM of 8 June 2010

Comment ID SSPDP715

Matters and Issues for Day 3 Cromer (C15 & C16)  
Inspectors Questions

- ix) While the proposed housing allocations may be available and achievable, there is still debate as to their 'suitability', in terms of delivering a 5 year land supply. The Council's ongoing SHLAA 2010, will no doubt, clarify a number of these issues to determine if further allocations need to be made, particularly in the light of the Core Strategy Inspectors report and the latest April 2010 Housing Need figures of 634 units for Cromer. (attached).
- x) The point is that if the remaining (residential) allocations are developed within the 15 year plan period there will be a pressing need particularly in respect of Cromer to bring forward other residential allocations such as C 15 & C 16 which have a better prospect of being available within 5 yrs, sooner rather than later.
- xi) –
- xii) A number of sites not included as the preferred residential locations can be considered as being more sustainable, such as C 15 & C 16 due to their appraisal, location and visual impact, and previous planning consents that have been granted on these sites.
- xiii) ---
- xiv) It can be considered that some of the preferred residential allocations are a further from the town centre than other discounted allocations such as C 15 & C 16.
- xv) In respect of C 15 & C 16, there are a number of ongoing discussions with the Councils Planning Officers regarding future uses for these sites concerning affordable housing under the 'exceptions' policy (for 30 units) and recreational uses such as a golf driving range and associated facilities (permitted). In other words the principle of building on these sites is already accepted. In this case insufficient consideration has been given to these sites as residential allocations.

Hugh Ivins BA MRTPI  
30 June 2010

## Jane Hunting

---

**From:** Faith Davies [Faith.Davies@north-norfolk.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 15 April 2010 17:07  
**To:** Jane Hunting  
**Subject:** RE: Cromer

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Hello Jane,

Sorry I picked this email up late – I'm on leave tomorrow. Luckily, here is one I prepared earlier!

This analysis was undertaken in March:

### Housing need in Cromer – general need

Household Type	Bedroom need					Total
	1	2	3	4	8	
Single Person	254	1				255
2 adults (including carer)		5				5
Couple	6	112				118
Family 1 Child		99				99
Family 2 children		31	24			55
Family 3 children				24		24
Family 4 children				7		7
Family 5+ children					5	5
Access to children		39	14	5		58
Other family		4	3	1		8
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>634</b>
% of need	41%	46%	6%	6%	1%	

Current social rented stock	Bedrooms					Total
	1	2	3	4	8	
Number of properties	48	221	139	2	0	410

To advise on an appropriate mix, it would be preferable to have an idea of numbers – but I have provided % need figures above to give a guide. If the owner would like me to attend the meeting to discuss, I'd be happy to go along too, if I am free?

Regards,

Faith

**Faith Davies Cert CIH**

ENABLING OFFICER  
North Norfolk District Council

01263 516300  
07824351511  
[faith.davies@north-norfolk.gov.uk](mailto:faith.davies@north-norfolk.gov.uk)  
[www.northnorfolk.org](http://www.northnorfolk.org)

---

**From:** Jane Hunting [mailto:jane.hunting@richardpike.co.uk]  
**Sent:** 15 April 2010 15:29  
**To:** Faith Davies  
**Subject:** Cromer