

CORE STRATEGY: OPTIONS CONSULTATION RESPONSE SHEET

Please state your **name**.....

Please state the **Organisation that you are representing**.....

Step 1: Identifying Your Priorities

How do you rate the following criteria? Tick one box for each.	This is a HIGH Priority for us ✓ (1)	This is a MODERATE Priority for us ✓ (2)	This is a LOW Priority for us ✓ (3)
• (a) Maximising the amount of affordable housing	•	•	•
• (b) Minimising the use of greenfield land	•	•	•
• (c) Reducing the reliance on the car	•	•	•
• (d) Improving access to jobs and services	•	•	•
• (e) Supporting rural communities	•	•	•
• (f) Supporting the viability of market towns	•	•	•
• (g) Maximising community benefit from development	•	•	•
• (h) Protecting the character of villages	•	•	•

Step 2: Reviewing the Development Scenarios

Having read all three scenarios please complete the following boxes

SCENARIO A: CONCENTRATE DEVELOPMENT WITHIN EXISTING SETTLEMENTS

What effect do you think this scenario will have on the following?
Circle one number for each statement.

	Minimal	⇐ IMPACT ⇒	Very High
A1) Maximising the amount of affordable housing	1	2	3 4 5
A2) Minimising the use of greenfield land	1	2	3 4 5
A3) Reducing the reliance on the car	1	2	3 4 5
A4) Improving access to jobs and services	1	2	3 4 5
A5) Supporting rural communities	1	2	3 4 5
A6) Supporting the viability of market towns	1	2	3 4 5
A7) Maximising community benefit from development	1	2	3 4 5
A8) Protecting the character of villages	1	2	3 4 5

**SCENARIO B:
FOCUS DEVELOPMENT IN THE TWO LARGEST TOWNS**

What effect do you think this scenario will have on the following?
Circle one number for each statement.

	Minimal	⇐ IMPACT ⇒	Very High		
B1) Maximising the amount of affordable housing	1	2	3	4	5
B2) Minimising the use of greenfield land	1	2	3	4	5
B3) Reducing the reliance on the car	1	2	3	4	5
B4) Improving access to jobs and services	1	2	3	4	5
B5) Supporting rural communities	1	2	3	4	5
B6) Supporting the viability of market towns	1	2	3	4	5
B7) Maximising community benefit from development	1	2	3	4	5
B8) Protecting the character of villages	1	2	3	4	5

**SCENARIO C:
TARGET NEW DEVELOPMENT TO ADDRESS LOCAL ISSUES**

What effect do you think this scenario will have on the following?
Circle one number for each statement.

	Minimal	⇐ IMPACT ⇒	Very High		
C1) Maximising the amount of affordable housing	1	2	3	4	5
C2) Minimising the use of greenfield land	1	2	3	4	5
C3) Reducing the reliance on the car	1	2	3	4	5
C4) Improving access to jobs and services	1	2	3	4	5
C5) Supporting rural communities	1	2	3	4	5
C6) Supporting the viability of market towns	1	2	3	4	5
C7) Maximising community benefit from development	1	2	3	4	5
C8) Protecting the character of villages	1	2	3	4	5

If you feel that none of these scenarios meets the expectations of your organisation please describe an alternative that you think is workable. Please add any other comments on the scenarios here (or attach additional sheets if you wish).

Step 3: Alternative Housing Numbers

If the final Regional Spatial Strategy includes a higher overall housing figure for North Norfolk (for example an additional 1,600 dwellings) how do you think the extra housing should be distributed? (YOU MAY TICK MORE THAN ONE ANSWER)

1. more in towns	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. more in villages	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. allow residential conversion of farm buildings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. none of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you answered 4) above please state how you would like to see additional housing distributed.

Step 4: Additional questions

Please answer the following questions by indicating your agreement or disagreement with each by inserting the relevant number (1 to 5) in the right hand column.

1 = Definitely **YES**, 2 = Yes Probably, 3 = Not sure, 4 = Probably Not, 5 = Definitely **NO**

	Number 1 - 5
1) Many buildings in the countryside have become unsuitable for their original use. If these are converted to houses this would drastically reduce the number of new houses that could be built in the towns and villages. Should we allow residential conversions of these buildings?	•
2) Do you think that the environmental benefits of wind energy, in general, outweigh the impact of (on-shore) wind turbine development on the landscape?	•
3) Should the location of affordable housing be any different from general market housing?	•
4) Should affordable housing be preserved to meet the needs of "local" people?	•
5) Should existing sites of small workshops, garages and other commercial businesses be safeguarded for new business use?	•
6) Should the type of business, in Q5 above, be encouraged to relocate to larger business parks in the District's towns?	•
7) Should land for employment development be provided in or adjacent to villages?	•
8) Should we allow new hotels and other holiday accommodation in the countryside areas?	•
9) Should new self-catering tourist accommodation be restricted to 'holiday lets' rather than second homes or holiday homes?	•
10) Should we try to resist the change of use of existing hotels to other uses?	•
11) Is there a need for a major new visitor attraction in the District?	•
12) Should the number of units that are not shops in town centres be restricted?	•
13) Do you agree that the best way of managing unauthorised travellers sites in the District is by the provision of appropriately located and managed sites?	•
14) Should measures be taken to reduce the impacts of traffic in town centres?	•
15) Do you think that in this District restrictions on car parking will reduce the level of car usage?	•
16) If new infill development is not allowed in small villages what effect do you think this would have? (please circle one)	Harmful
	No effect
	Beneficial

Thank you for completing this questionnaire.
Please return this response form in the envelope provided to:

OPERA Research
Keswick Hall
Norwich, NR4 6TJ



Tel: (01603) 250555 www.operagr.com