

**OFFICERS' REPORTS TO  
DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE (WEST) – 17 SEPTEMBER 2009**

Each report for decision on this Agenda shows the Officer responsible, the recommendation of the Head of Planning and Building Control and in the case of private business the paragraph(s) of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 under which it is considered exempt. None of the reports have financial, legal or policy implications save where indicated.

**PUBLIC BUSINESS – ITEMS FOR DECISION**

**PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

**Note :-** Recommendations for approval include a standard time limit condition as Condition No.1, unless otherwise stated.

1. **HOLT - 20090690 - Erection of detached two-storey dwelling and garage; plot 5, land at Orchard Piece Kelling Road for Character Homes Ltd**

**MINOR DEVELOPMENT - Target Date :03 Sep 2009**

Case Officer :Ms M Hemstock  
(Full Planning Permission)

**CONSTRAINTS**

Residential Area  
Tree Preservation Order

**RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY**

20080413 - (Outline Planning Permission) - Erection of seven dwellings  
Approved, 12 May 2008  
20080824 - (Reserved Matters) - Erection of two-storey dwelling and detached double garage at Plot 2  
Approved, 01 Aug 2008  
20081136 - (Reserved Matters) - Erection of two-storey dwelling at Plot 8  
Approved, 24 Sep 2008  
20081344 - (Reserved Matters) - Erection of two-storey dwelling at Plot 6  
Approved, 14 Nov 2008  
20081577 - (Reserved Matters) - Erection of two-storey dwelling at Plot 3  
Approved, 17 Dec 2008

**THE APPLICATION**

Seeks to erect a detached two-storey dwelling and garage on land to the rear of Orchard Piece, 8 Kelling Road.

The dwelling would have a height to eaves of 3.8m and a height to ridge of 6.7m. The rear garden would have a depth of approximately 10m.

Materials proposed would match those on other dwellings within this development. Rooflights to the rear/north elevation would be set a minimum of 1,650mm above the first floor ground level, whilst first floor windows on the end/east elevation would be obscure glazed.

**REASON FOR REFERRAL TO COMMITTEE**

At the request of Councillors Baker and High having regard to the following planning issues:

Scale and impact on neighbouring properties.

## TOWN COUNCIL

No objection.

## REPRESENTATIONS

Two letters of objection have been received from local residents raising the following points:

1. Overdevelopment.
2. All of the buildings to the north of the site are bungalows.
3. Loss of privacy and light due to ground height differences.
4. Concern during poor weather due to ground height differences.
5. Overbearing impact.
6. Boundary treatment.

## CONSULTATIONS

Conservation, Design and Landscape Manager (Landscape) - Awaiting comments.

County Council (Highways) - No objection subject to the imposition of conditions.

Sustainability Co-ordinator- No objection subject to the imposition of a condition.

## HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS

It is considered that the proposed development may raise issues relevant to Article 8 : The right to respect for private and family life, and Article 1 of The First Protocol: The right to peaceful enjoyment of possessions.

Having considered the likely impact on an individual's Human Rights, and the general interest of the public, approval of this application as recommended is considered to be justified, proportionate and in accordance with planning law.

## CRIME AND DISORDER ACT 1998 - SECTION 17

The application raises no significant crime and disorder issues.

## POLICIES

North Norfolk Core Strategy (Adopted September 2008):

Policy SS 1: Spatial Strategy for North Norfolk (*specifies the settlement hierarchy and distribution of development in the District*).

Policy SS 3: Housing (*strategic approach to housing issues*).

Policy EN 4: Design (*specifies criteria that proposals should have regard to, including the North Norfolk Design Guide and sustainable construction*).

Policy EN 6: Sustainable construction and energy efficiency (*specifies sustainability and energy efficiency requirements for new developments*).

Policy EN 9: Biodiversity and geology (*requires no adverse impact on designated nature conservation sites*).

Policy CT 5: The transport impact of new development (*specifies criteria to ensure reduction of need to travel and promotion of sustainable forms of transport*).

Policy CT 6: Parking provision (*requires compliance with the Council's car parking standards other than in exceptional circumstances*).

## MAIN ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

1. Principle of development.
2. Impact on the character and appearance of the area.
3. Design.
4. Impact on the amenity of adjacent residents.

## APPRAISAL

The site is located within the residential area of Holt where the principle of erecting a dwelling is acceptable subject to compliance with relevant Development Plan policies.

Outline planning permission to erect seven dwellings on land at Orchard Piece was granted under planning reference 20080413. This permission remains valid and the applicant has already submitted reserved matters for a number of the plots. Full permission is required in this instance because the applicant seeks to amend the siting of the dwelling on Plot 5.

The proposed dwelling would be rotated 90° compared with the approved outline scheme. The dwelling would have a footprint of approximately 115sq.m larger than that of the dwelling approved at outline stage.

As far as the design is concerned, a precedent has already been set within the development for two-storey dwellings. The proposed dwelling would be of similar scale and style to constructed properties.

Subject to the imposition of appropriate conditions, it is considered that the amended design would not significantly impact on the amenities of neighbouring properties. The length of the rear and side garden, the high-level rooflights and obscure glazed first floor windows are all in compliance with the basic amenity criteria and it is not considered that the development would result in any overshadowing of adjacent gardens or loss of privacy to neighbouring dwellings.

The design incorporating the amended orientation allows maximum use of solar gain, including the installation of solar panels. A condition is required to ensure that the code for Sustainable Homes requirements are met.

The Highway Authority has requested the imposition of conditions to ensure compliance with Policy CT 6.

Subject to the imposition of appropriate conditions, the proposed dwelling would comply with Development Plan policies.

## RECOMMENDATION:-

**Delegated authority to approve, subject to no grounds of objection from the Conservation, Design and Landscape Manager and subject to the imposition of appropriate conditions.**

## 2. **SALTHOUSE - 20090434 - Erection of two-storey front extension, single-storey side extension and dormer window; The Patch Coast Road for Mr Colman**

**Target Date :17 Jul 2009**

Case Officer :Mr G Linder  
(Full Planning Permission)

## CONSTRAINTS

Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty  
Countryside Policy Area  
Tidal Flood Zone  
Conservation Area

## THE APPLICATION

Is for the erection of a two-storey, fully glazed, timber framed extension to the front elevation of the dwelling which would provide for an extended drawing room at ground floor and a master bedroom to the first floor. In addition a single-storey hipped roofed lean-to extension is proposed to the eastern elevation which would create an entrance lobby with cloakroom, whilst at second floor level it is intended to introduce a dormer window to the rear elevation which would serve an additional bathroom.

Revised plans have been received which substitute the proposed glazed apex to the gable of the two-storey front extension with a tiled hipped roof to match the remainder of the property and reduce the overall amount of glazing.

Further amended plans have been received which show a film applied to the glass positioned at low level on the upper floor to reduce the spread of light. In addition an e-mail from the agent confirms that there would be no external lighting, no pendant lighting. Lighting would be via a 5 amp circuit with recessed down lights which would be low voltage and the windows would be dressed with blinds and curtains.

## REASONS FOR REFERRAL TO COMMITTEE

The application was deferred at a previous meeting of the Committee.

## PARISH COUNCIL

Object, principally on the ground of light pollution from the proposed glass extension which is also not in keeping with surrounding properties.

Salthouse residents (and visitors) treasure their dark skies at night, the Council has previously adopted the CPRE 'Dark Skies' policy. The Council believes that this development in the form proposed would produce significant light pollution affecting both the village and the adjacent marshes with their various international conservation designations. The Council would prefer brick/flint construction and traditional windows in keeping with existing properties and with the character of the village.

## REPRESENTATIONS

The Council for the Protection of Rural England, Norfolk (CPRE) objects on the grounds of light pollution that would result from the proposal to construct a two-storey 'vernacularly constructed glass box' on half the frontage of the house. They consider that this would at times of poor light, dusk and at night present an extremely intrusive wall of light. It would be highly visible from a wide angle from the vicinity of the house; and more so from views south from the shoreline across the marshes. It would impact very adversely on the character of the village, and the wider landscape. The north Norfolk coastal area remains a 'dark skies' area, and this must be valued. In the East of England at 2000 only 5 per cent remained in this category.

## CONSULTATIONS

Conservation, Design and Landscape Manager (Conservation) - In design terms, there is no basic objection to the use of timber and glass which tends to strike the right balance between introducing contemporary visual interest and respecting traditional materials. Where there could be some criticism is the amount of glazing proposed. The Design Guide talks about guarding against "unwanted light pollution in the countryside". However, whether the amount of light spilling out of the master bedroom and drawing room would constitute a nuisance depends to a certain extent on how the space would be used. As such whilst the front extension would have

some effect on the character of the existing building (such as it is) less certain is whether this impact would be sufficiently injurious to generate real harm within the designated Conservation Area. On this basis, it is doubtful whether a recommendation of refusal could be substantiated.

Conservation, Design and Landscape Manager (Landscape) - Awaiting comments.

Norfolk Coast Partnership - Whilst not having visited the site it appears that there is the potential for light pollution from the proposed extension, which could affect the perception of the remoteness and tranquillity of the marshes and coast of the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and North Norfolk Heritage Coast to the north, which is a key characteristic of the area's natural beauty. The impacts could include the effects of sunlight reflected from large areas of glazing, particularly at certain times of day and year, or from artificial light in the proposed extension seen at dusk or at night.

The architect has not included any assessment of the sensitivity of the surrounding landscape, potential impacts, or mitigation. I would therefore suggest, if the Council is otherwise satisfied that the proposed extension meets its criteria and is appropriate in its village context, that the applicant/architect is requested to make this assessment and propose appropriate modifications or mitigation if required, and that the Council assesses this based on its statutory duty to have regard to the objectives of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty designation i.e. the conservation and enhancement of its natural beauty.

Environmental Health - Given that the Environmental Protection Team do not have any legislative control over the erosion of dark skies the following comments are confined to the likelihood of nuisance of light pollution affecting residential premises. Having assessed the information provided by the applicant I can find no evidence to suggest that the lighting within the building will be anything other than a normal lighting scheme for internal spaces. Whilst not wishing to suggest that there would be no glow from the building I do not believe it would fall within the remit of a nuisance regime. This is because it is very unlikely that this lighting would be powerful enough to be intrusive on neighbouring properties. I would also suggest that in line with most residential properties lights are unlikely to be left on all night and as such are unlikely to disturb residents significantly.

#### HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS

It is considered that the proposed development may raise issues relevant to Article 8 : The right to respect for private and family life, and Article 1 of The First Protocol: The right to peaceful enjoyment of possessions.

Having considered the likely impact on an individual's Human Rights, and the general interest of the public, approval of this application as recommended is considered to be justified, proportionate and in accordance with planning law.

#### CRIME AND DISORDER ACT 1998 - SECTION 17

The application raises no significant crime and disorder issues.

#### POLICIES

North Norfolk Core Strategy (Adopted September 2008):

Policy SS2: Development in the Countryside (*prevents general development in the countryside with specific exceptions*).

Policy EN 1: Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and The Broads (*prevents developments which would be significantly detrimental to the areas and their setting*).

Policy EN 2: Protection and enhancement of landscape and settlement character (*specifies criteria that proposals should have regard to, including the Landscape Character Assessment*).

Policy EN 4: Design (*specifies criteria that proposals should have regard to, including the North Norfolk Design Guide and sustainable construction*).

Policy EN 8: Protecting and enhancing the historic environment (*prevents insensitive development and specifies requirements relating to designated assets and other valuable buildings*).

Policy EN 9: Biodiversity and geology (*requires no adverse impact on designated nature conservation sites*).

Development proposals that would cause a direct or indirect adverse Policy EN 10: Flood risk (*prevents inappropriate development in flood risk areas*).

#### MAIN ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

1. Design.
2. Impact of the development on the character and appearance of the area.
3. Light pollution.
4. Impact on neighbouring properties.
5. Flood risk.

#### APPRAISAL

The application was deferred at the last meeting in order to give Officers the opportunity of seeking changes to the design of the two-storey front extension. A meeting has been held and the applicant is understood to be considering the submission of an amended design.

The site is located within the Countryside policy area, Conservation Area and also the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where Policies EN 1, EN 2, EN 4, EN 8, EN 9 and EN 13 are applicable.

The dwelling is situated on rising ground to the south side of the coast road A149, and is set back some 60m from the edge of the carriageway with the front boundary of the site, which is set behind a wide grass verge being formed by a flint wall some 1.5m in height. In addition there are a number of trees and other vegetation to the western boundary of the site, whilst to the east, a mix of dwellings which are set close to and abut the highway, mask views of the property.

The dwelling itself is square in plan and is of a hipped roofed construction with a projecting wing to the west which is terminated in a double pile gable end, and small gable to the left hand side of the front elevation. To the east is a two-storey cat-slide roof projection with the whole building being predominantly of flint on red brick plinth under a clay pantile roof.

The most contentious element of the scheme is considered to be the two-storey extension to the front elevation of the dwelling, which would be 5.2m in width by 3m in depth with a gable facing the coast road and which would be 15sq.m in plan. It would be constructed of oak in-filled with full height glazing to ground and first floor, under a hipped clay pantile roof.

Whilst this element would result in a new intervention to the building it is considered that it would remain subordinate to the overall appearance of the building, and at the same time lifting what is a somewhat bland front elevation. In addition the use of natural oak to the frame would result in a visually lightweight structure which when viewed against the flintwork of the rest of the dwelling would give the extension a recessive appearance.

It is considered that whilst the extension is innovative in its design and appearance its overall scale and massing would be in keeping with the rest of the dwelling and that it would preserve the appearance of the Conservation Area. Given that the dwelling is set some distance back from the Coast Road and the nature of prevailing development close to the highway, together with trees and other vegetation in the vicinity of the site, is not considered that the front extension would have a significant impact on the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

In respect of the issue of light pollution, the Council's Environmental Protection Team has indicated that whilst it recognises the desire to keep skies dark and notes the CPRE 'Dark Skies' Policy it does not consider, from the information provided by the applicant, that the development would fall within the Council's remit of light pollution affecting residential premises. Furthermore it suggests that in line with most properties is it unlikely that lights would be left on all night and as such are unlikely to disturb residents significantly. At the time of writing the report the comments of the Conservation, Design and Landscape Manager (Landscape) are awaited.

In respect of the side extension this would be subservient and hardly visible from the highway. The other element to the scheme is the dormer window to the rear, although fairly large measuring 2.6m in width by 1.7m tall under a hipped pantile roof, due to the rising ground behind the house together with the rear boundary wall, the dormer would not be visible from any vantage point.

As far as the impact on neighbouring properties is concerned, the front extension would have first floor glazing both to the east and west elevations. However, given the location of the properties to either side, it is not considered that in either case this would give rise to issues of privacy or direct overlooking. Similarly the dormer to the rear elevation would not result in overlooking issues.

On the issue of flood risk although the access to the site is within the Flood Risk Zone 3 area the dwelling is set some 35m further back and as such there are no flood risk implications.

It is therefore considered that subject to no overriding objection from the Conservation, Design and Landscape Manager (Landscape) in respect of the potential for light pollution the scheme as proposed would accord with Development Plan policy. Members will be updated orally in respect of any further amendments.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:-**

**Delegated approval, subject to no new grounds of objection from the Conservation, Design and Landscape Manager (Landscape) and subject to the imposition of appropriate conditions.**

### **3. APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR A SITE INSPECTION**

The following planning application is recommended by officers for a site inspection by the Committee prior to the consideration of a full report at the next meeting.

As the application will not be debated at this meeting it is not appropriate to invite public speaking at this stage. Members of the public will have an opportunity to make their representations at the next meeting of the Committee when the application is discussed.

Please note that additional site inspections may be recommended by Officers at the meeting or agreed during consideration of report items on this agenda.

**SHERINGHAM – 20090818 – Demolish all buildings except Nos. 7, 9 and 11 Cromer Road and Erection of A1 (Retail Supermarket), 5 Class A1/A3 Retail Units, 2 Flats and a Class D1/D2 Community Space with Associated Access, Landscaping, Car Parking and Servicing Arrangements**

REASON FOR REFERRAL TO COMMITTEE

Site visits are recommended by the Head of Planning and Building Control in order to expedite proceedings.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

**The Committee is recommended to undertake the above site visit.**

#### 4. **APPLICATIONS APPROVED UNDER DELEGATED POWERS**

**BLAKENEY - 20090482 - Erection of single-storey and two-storey rear extensions and two-storey side extension and formation of vehicular access; Vine Cottage 60 Morston Road for Mr and Mrs Thompson**  
(Full Planning Permission)

**BLAKENEY - 20090644 - Display of non-illuminated advertisement; 139-141 High Street for Blakeney, Cley and District R B L Club**  
(Non-illuminated Advertisement)

**BODHAM - 20090684 - Erection of first floor rear extension and front porch; The Cottage Rectory Road Lower Bodham for Mr and Mrs Paterson**  
(Full Planning Permission)

**BRISTON - 20090594 - Erection of front bay extension, conversion of detached garage/store to ancillary habitable accommodation and construction of tennis court; Small Hopes Farm Workhouse Lane for Mr and Mrs Giles and Jo Winter**  
(Full Planning Permission)

**BRISTON - 20090615 - Use of land for siting warden's caravan in connection with adjacent caravan park; Pine View Gloucester Place for Mr K P Graves**  
(Full Planning Permission)

**BRISTON - 20090626 - Erection of agricultural building; Brambles Farm Thurning Road for Mr Holden**  
(Full Planning Permission)

**BRISTON - 20090667 - Erection of two-storey detached dwelling and garage; 104 Hall Street for Executors Mrs Southgate (Deceased)**  
(Full Planning Permission)

**BRISTON - 20090669 - Erection of attached double garage; 9 Baldwins Close for Mr Lubbock**  
(Full Planning Permission)

**CLEY-NEXT-THE-SEA - 20090572** - Erection of front conservatory; 18a Old Womans Lane for Mr Woods  
(Full Planning Permission)

**EAST AND WEST BECKHAM - 20090646** - Installation of solar panels; Bluebell Barn Plum Lane Gresham for Mr High  
(Full Planning Permission)

**EDGEFIELD - 20090405** - Erection of animal shelter; land adjacent to 2 Farm Cottages Plumstead Road for Mr Sillis  
(Full Planning Permission)

**FAKENHAM - 20090616** - Erection of extension to provide single-storey annexe (revised design); 71 Wells Road for Mr Curson  
(Full Planning Permission)

**FAKENHAM - 20090661** - Erection of one single-storey dwelling and two semi-detached two-storey dwellings; 8 Sculthorpe Road for Bawcock Developments  
(Outline Planning Permission)

**FAKENHAM - 20090695** - Blocking up of side window and installation of rear window; Fernside, 11 Wells Road for Dr J Loades-Allmond  
(Alteration to Listed Building)

**FIELD DALLING - 20090620** - Retention of satellite dish; Church Farm House Langham Road for Mr Ash  
(Alteration to Listed Building)

**FULMODESTON - 20090623** - Erection of single-storey side extension and infilling of former gate opening; 136 The Street Barney for Mr Hiles  
(Full Planning Permission)

**FULMODESTON - 20090634** - Erection of single-storey side and rear extensions; Station Farmhouse, 136 The Street Barney for Mr Hiles  
(Alteration to Listed Building)

**GREAT SNORING - 20090678** - Erection of single-storey rear extension; The White House The Street for Mrs Peabody  
(Full Planning Permission)

**GUNTHORPE - 20090670** - Erection of two-storey extension linked to single-storey extension and erection of detached out- houses; Bluetile Cottages Sharrington Road for Mr Worsley  
(Full Planning Permission)

**HINDOLVESTON - 20090612** - Erection of replacement two-storey dwelling (revised design); 85 and 87 The Street for Mr Vardigans  
(Full Planning Permission)

**HOLT - 20090611** - Conversion of cart shed to annexe; 65 Cromer Road for Mr and Mrs Catchpole  
(Full Planning Permission)

**LANGHAM - 20090666** - Erection of single-storey front extension; Lion House Holt Road for Mr and Mrs Green

(Full Planning Permission)

**PUDDING NORTON - 20090614** - Erection of single-storey rear extension; 4 Dereham Road for Mr Ward

(Full Planning Permission)

**SCULTHORPE - 20090602** - Erection of single-storey extensions and detached garage; 46 Creake Road for Mr Grist

(Full Planning Permission)

**SCULTHORPE - 20090603** - Internal alterations, erection of replacement rear extensions and installation of roof lights; 46 Creake Road for Mr Grist

(Alteration to Listed Building)

**SHERINGHAM - 20080727** - Certificate of lawfulness for existing use of garden for parking of vehicles used in connection with contracting business; land at Havelock Road and 47 Common Lane for Wells Services

(Certificate of Lawfulness)

**SHERINGHAM - 20090608** - Erection of double car port with storage and games room over and erection of replacement front boundary wall; 2 North Street for Mr Booth

(Full Planning Permission)

**SHERINGHAM - 20090609** - Demolition of boundary wall; 2 North Street for Mr Booth

(Demolition in a Conservation Area)

**SHERINGHAM - 20090619** - Erection of garden room; 56 Common Lane for Mr Woodcock

(Full Planning Permission)

**SHERINGHAM - 20090624** - Retention of smoking shelter; Tyneside Club, 95 Station Road for Tyneside Club

(Full Planning Permission)

**SHERINGHAM - 20090551** - Erection of bungalow and garage (revised design); site adjacent Sheringham House Cremers Drift for Sutherlands Homes

(Full Planning Permission)

**STIBBARD - 20090622** - Erection of extension to detached annexe; Pound Stables Wood Norton Road for Mr Lorrimore

(Full Planning Permission)

**UPPER SHERINGHAM - 20090515** - Conversion of barn to dwelling and erection of garage; Street Farm The Street for Trustees of John Ashton's Children

(Full Planning Permission)

**WALSINGHAM - 20090639** - Installation of replacement gates; The Friary Fakenham Road for C/o Martin Hall Associates

(Alteration to Listed Building)

**WALSINGHAM - 20090659** - Erection of storage shed and installation of gates; The Friary Fakenham Road for C/o Martin Hall Associates

(Full Planning Permission)

**WELLS-NEXT-THE-SEA - 20090581** - Construction of concrete platform to facilitate landing of access jetty; land at Beach Road for Wells Harbour Commissioners

(Full Planning Permission)

**WELLS-NEXT-THE-SEA - 20090629** - Erection of garden room extension; The Manse Clubbs Lane for Julia Wildin

(Full Planning Permission)

**WELLS-NEXT-THE-SEA - 20090679** - Internal alterations including re-location of staircase and partition walls and re-location of external door and window; 12 High Street for Mr Wainwright

(Alteration to Listed Building)

5. **APPLICATIONS REFUSED UNDER DELEGATED POWERS**

**BEESTON REGIS - 20090668** - Erection of single-storey rear extension; 3 Priory Close for Mr Sanders

(Full Planning Permission)

**MELTON CONSTABLE - 20090696** - Erection of games room extension; Culpits Farm House, Culpits Farm Hindolveston Road for Mr and Mrs Barnes

(Full Planning Permission)

**MELTON CONSTABLE - 20090697** - Erection of single-storey extension; Culpits Farm House, Culpits Farm Hindolveston Road for Mr and Mrs Barnes

(Alteration to Listed Building)

**MORSTON - 20090633** - Continued display of non-illuminated advertisements; 9 sites in District including land at Blakeney Road for Openwide Coastal

(Non-illuminated Advertisement)

**APPEALS SECTION**

6. **NEW APPEALS**

**FAKENHAM - 20090334** - Erection of two-storey side and single-storey rear extensions; 22 North Drive for Mr Greenaway

WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS

**FAKENHAM - 20081510** - Residential development; land north of Parker Drive for New Hall Properties

WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS

**LANGHAM - 20090197** - Part retention and erection of 2 metres high boundary fence; Langham Lodge Cockthorpe Road for Mr Blackwell

WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS

**RYBURGH - 20090171** - Removal of condition 3 of planning permission: 20050494 to enable annexe to be occupied as separate dwelling unit; 29 Station Road Great Ryburgh for Mrs Buxton

7. **PUBLIC INQUIRIES AND INFORMAL HEARINGS - PROGRESS**

No items.

8. **WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS APPEALS - PROGRESS**

**SHERINGHAM - 20080836** - Demolition of bungalow and erection of three, one and a half storey dwellings; 18 Hadley Road for Mr K Welch  
SITE VISIT :- 09 Sep 2009

**WARHAM - 20081310** - Erection of two dwellings; adjacent The Reading Room  
The Street for Holkham Estate

9. **APPEAL DECISIONS**

**WARHAM - 20081276** - Erection two-storey dwelling; 79 The Street for Holkham  
Estate  
APPEAL DECISION :- DISMISSED